

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/577,694	05/22/2000	Anne Sorensen	Novo-029	3706
75	90 09/26/2002			
Robert B. Smith SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square			EXAMINER	
			HON, SO	HON, SOW FUN
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Augliogées No	Applicant(s)
## Examinar Art Unit 1772 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - It is presented to the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed - It is presented in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.38(b). In on event, however, may a reply be timely filed - It is presented in the may be event to see but han this (30) days, a rely without the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be communication. - It is presented in real yspecified above, the maximum statutory presented will apply and will expire SIX (8) MoXPI IS from the mailing date of this communication. - It is presented in the provision of the presented plant in the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot paken term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74(b). - This action is FINAL. 2b). This action is non-final. - This action is FINAL. 2b). This action is non-final. - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Stipposition of Claims Is/are allowed. - Claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Sow-Fun Hon 1772 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THEE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1786). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the six Six (by MOXTHS from the remissing date of this communication. If the period for reply reported above is less than thirty (30) days, and you will not be stautionly within the staution printing will only the considered sheety - 10 years for reply septical advoice, the maintern staution protein of largely will not be staution of thirty (30) days will be considered sheety - 10 years for reply septical advoice, the maintern staution protein of largely will not be staution of thirty (30) days will be considered sheety - 10 years for reply septical advoice, the maintern date of this communication, even if limiting filed of this communication. - 10 years for execution of this later than free months after the mailing date of this communication, even if limiting filed, may reduce any search great and part of the protein of the protein of this communication, even if limiting filed, may reduce any search great and part of the protein of the protein of the protein of this communication, even if limiting filed, may reduce any search great and part of the protein of the protein of this communication.	Office Action Commons	09/577,694	SORENSEN ET AL.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—reirod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 1 Editarization of time may be availated with the provision of 37 CR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after Six (6) MONTH's from the realizing date of the communication. 1 Editarization of time the provision of 37 CR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raply be timely field after Six (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of the some maintain of the communication of the communicatio	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - bosistions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be smally filed - bosistions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be smally filed - bosistions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be smally filed - bosistions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be smally filed - bosistions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(s), in no event, however, may a reply be smally filed - if the packed for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and will expire SIX (8) MCNT165 from the mailing date of this communication. - If you period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and will expire SIX (8) MCNT165 from the mailing date of this communication. - Available them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(s). - Status - This action is FINAL 2D) This action is non-final. - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Since this application is objected to under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Claim(s) is/are allowed. - Since this accordance with the provision and for election requirement. - Application Papers - Specification is obj			
THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estancians of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 133(e). In no event, however, may a reply bet limitely field after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - It has period for merely appecified above is best harm they (50) days, a reply within the standary minimum of this (70) days will be comissed firmly. - It has period for merely appecified above is best harm they (50) days, a reply within the standary minimum of the maining date of this communication. - Particle to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, clause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply revisive by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 17(4)(b). - This action is FINAL. - 2b)	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH t, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Sisposition of Claims 1-22 is/are pending in the application.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. *Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) **			
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Ohloice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	١.	
Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Ittachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Matchment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Matachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	pted or b)☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Acknowledgment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s)** (Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, 	_ ,	approved by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Pafetyperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Stachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s)** Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s)** A Description of the priority documents have been received in Application (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A Description of the priority documents have been received in Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **Attachment(s)** (Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) A Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 15) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in App	olication No
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_	·	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has bee	en received.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·— •	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. 9	3 120 aliu/01 121.
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4) Interview Su	immany (PTO-413) Paner No(s)
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Application/Control Number: 09/577,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 21-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election of claims 1-20 was made without traverse in Paper No. 5 (filed 07/02/02).

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "compared t" should be retyped as "compared to". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In the instant case, the recitation in claim 1 should replace the phrase "a stopper as defined in claim 1".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 4, 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear by what method the hardness has been measured. The ASTM method with the year attached must be provided.

Application/Control Number: 09/577,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "substantially" is vague and indefinite.

7. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 17, the term "capable of" is vague and indefinite since it is indicative of intended use, and is not given any patentable weight. In claim 18, it is unclear what is meant by the claim limitation "applied force to the stopper is through a rod". Does it mean that a rod is used to push the stopper in?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasai et al. (US 4,444,330).

Kasai et al. teaches a stopper comprising 30 to 90 weight % partially crosslinked butyl based rubber and up to 30 weight % thermoplastic polyolefin. The polyolefin is taught to be polypropylene or polyethylene. The butyl based rubber is a halogenated one (column 1, lines 35-65 and column 3, lines 5-15) such as a bromobutyl rubber. Kasai et al. teaches that the butyl rubber alone is subject to permanent set and cannot provide a stopper for hermetically sealing a

Application/Control Number: 09/577,694

Art Unit: 1772

medical container (column 2, lines 15-65) thus teaching that a stopper made from a combination of the butyl rubber and another component provides for a hermetically sealed container resulting in a reduced leakage of substances compared to a stopper made from butyl rubber alone. Because Kasai et al. teaches that the bromobutyl rubber is at least partially crosslinked, is blended with up to 30 % polypropylene or polyethylene, and that the stopper is injection molded, in the absence of clear comparative data, it is the examiner's position that the resultant stopper has a hardness of 40-80 Shore A.

Kasai et al. teaches a medical container with non-flexible walls (rigid) (column 1, lines 5-15). The figures show that the stopper has a circular cross-section, and that the container comprises a distal and a proximal end, and at least one wall defining an interior space for storing liquid medicament (figures and column 5, lines 40-60).

Even though product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)*. In the instant case, the stopper is in the container, and any way of pushing the stopper in, whether the applied force to the stopper is through a rod or palm of a hand results in a stoppered container.

Application/Control Number: 09/577,694

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (703)308-3265. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (703)308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

PA

09/23/02

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5